

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,382	07/21/2003		Vishnu K. Agarwal	108298504US1	6835
25096	7590	04/06/2005		EXAMINER	
PERKINS (COIE LL	P	ELEY, TIMOTHY V		
PATENT-SE P.O. BOX 12			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE,		11-1247	3724		

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	BD			
		10/624,382	AGARWAL, VISHNU	_			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Timothy V Eley	3724				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Renly	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addres	3S			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set perior received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatute, cause the application to become a	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu	inication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6	06 January 2005.					
2a)□		This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 24-29 and 32-46 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 24-29 and 32-46 are subject to respect to the subject to the subject to respect to the subject to the subjec	ndrawn from consideration.	irement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exar The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rrection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			-			
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force. All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum. 2. Certified copies of the priority docum. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bussee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stac	ge			
Attachmen		Ω []	0				
2)	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE sr No(s)/Mail Date) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 	?)			

Application/Control Number: 10/624,382 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 24-29,32-36, and 46, drawn to a planarizing pad, classified in class 451, subclass 6.
- II. Claims 37-45, drawn to a method for planarizing a microelectronic-device, classified in class 451, subclass6.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either:
- (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as polishing a piece of glass, which does not require using a light beam.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- 1. Species I: Planarizing pad with optical ports.

Art Unit: 3724

- 2. Species II: Planarizing pad with contour elements.
- 3. Species III: Planarizing pad with conductive features.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3724

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy V Eley whose telephone number is 571-272-4506. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Timothy V Eley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724